Protostellar Outflows and Turbulence:

The Case for "Internal Driving"

Adam Frank University of Rochester

A Cast of Many

Jonathan Carroll (UR) Andrew Cunningham (LLNL, UR) Kris Yirak (UR) Eric Blackman (UR) Alice Quillen

AstroBEAR: Sorin Mitran UNC Other stuff: Pat Hartigan, Sergey Lebedev, Andrea Ciardi, John Bally, Jerry Chittenden

Thanks to: NSF, DOE, NASA, UR Laboratory for Laser Energetics

AstroBEAR MHD-AMR Code Cunningham et al 2008

- Set of Riemann solvers: CTU Method (Gardiner & Stone)
- > MHD divergence preserving: CT method.
- > MHD DivB = 0 Prolongationrestriction.
- Built-in physics modules:
 - Time-dependent lonization and H₂Chemistry
 - ≻ Real EOS
 - Elliptic Multi-grid solver (diffusion, self-gravity etc)
- Parallel load balance and domain decomposition



Colliding MHD Clumps: Dennis et al 2008

Feedback Scales

 Microscopic = launch region L < 100 AU Mesoscopic = Individual outflow L < .1 pc Macroscopic = Cluster/pc-scale flow L > 1 pc

Turbulence and Molecular Clouds: 2 Issues

Star Formation Efficiency is low (0.1)

2. Cloud Lifetimes

- Clouds are long lived $(t_{cloud} > t_{ff})$
- Clouds are short lived $(t_{cloud} \sim t_{ff})$

Clouds are Turbulent (Larson's Law)

$$\sigma = 0.7 R^{0.5} km / s$$

- Turbulence determines SFE
- If $t_{cloud} > t_{ff}$ then turbulent support.



hever



What is Turbulence?

Vestuto et al 03

 Random but statistically steady motions.

$$E(k) = \left| v(k) \right|^2 \propto k^{-n}$$

- Kolmolgorov n = 5/3
- Burgers n = 2
- Lognormal Density distribution

$$p(\ln \rho)d\ln \rho = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \times \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\ln \rho - \overline{\ln \rho}}{\sigma}\right)^2\right] d\ln \rho,$$



The Problem: Turbulent Decay is fast

$$t_{diss} = \frac{E_{turb}}{E_{turb}} = 0.5 \frac{R}{\sigma}$$
McKee & Ostriker 07
$$t_{diss} \sim t_{collapse}$$

 Without continued energy injection (HD or MHD) turbulence decays rapidly (Stone, Ostriker, MacLow)



Kinetic energy vs time for 4 decaying turbulent sims (MacLow 02: Hydro, MHD, ZUES, SPH)

External vs. Internal Driving

Turbulent injection scale L₀ ~ 1/k₀
 Cascade down to dissipation scales k_{diss}
 External Driving: L₀ ~ R_{cloud}
 Internal Driving: L₀ ~ R_{cluster}



Sources of Turbulence

- Gravitational Collapse
- External SN
- Galactic Rotation
- HII Regions
- Internal SN
- <u>Proto-stellar Outflows</u>



Perseus embedded cluster-NGC1333

2.5 pc across

150 active outflows (Bally 1996)

Telescope • IRAC ssc2005-24a

Spitzer Space Center for Astrophysics muth (Harvard-Sm 1333 Region NGC Guter Star-Forming JPL-Calted NASA

Macroscopic (Cluster) Scales: Outflow Feedback & Turbulence

• Can space-filling isotropic turbulence be driven by needles (jets), or balloons (outflows)?

• Explicate mechanisms.

Connection with observational structures.

Global Analytics – McKee, Matzner, Tan, Krumhotz
Global sims – Maclow, Nakamura & Li, (poster Raga)
ISM-Supernova Balsara et al, Dal Pino et al

Resolution critical for jet sims : R_i ~ 20 zones.

The Storyline

Cunningham et al 2006
 Collision of active outflows

- Quillen et al 2005
 - Observations of turbulence & fossil outflows
- Cunningham et al 2007
 - Simulation of fossil outflows
- Cunningham et al 2008
 - Single fossil outflows in turbulent environments
- Carrol et al 2008
 - Multiple fossil outflows driving turbulent environments

Active Outflow Collisions (Cunningham et al 06)

Conclusion # 1

Active Jets not good at driving Turbulence

What do Data Show: NGC 1333 Quillen et al 2005

- Explore High Rez ¹³CO Data (Ridge et al 04)
- Correlate with
 Spitzer images +
 2Mass data etc.

¹³CO map + Spitzer 4.5 μ image

CO Data .2 km/s contour spacing .47 km/s gradient top to bottom

Spitzer data: SVS13 – center left Many outflows visible



NGC 1333: Cavities

Conclusion # 2

Data show fossil cavities, not active jets, are smoking gun for protostellar outflow driven turbulence.

6.00

Does Fossil Cavity Scaling Work? Cunningham et al 2006

Explore time-decaying Jets/WAW outflow evolution (Bertout et al 96)

$$M^{*} \approx M^{*}_{o} e^{-\gamma t}$$

- Outflow power decays after 10⁴ y.
- Simulation runs for 10⁵ y
- Run to 0.5 pc scales

Compare with scaling relations of *Quillen et al.*

Compare with PV diagrams

Fossil Cavity Sims: Jets and Wide Angle Winds



Collimated Jet



Wide Angle Wind (Matzner Class Sol)

- Strong deceleration
- Rarefactions backfill cavity

Fossil Cavity Sims: Results

Conclusion # 3

Fossil Cavities scaling from Quillen correct.

Fossil cavities "store" outflow momentum to transfer to turbulent motions How Do Individual FCs Return Momentum to Turbulence? Cunningham et al 2008

 Background decaying turbulence

 $\begin{array}{lll} v_x(\mathbf{x}) = & \sum_{i,j,k} A_x \ _{i,j,k} \sin(\mathbf{k}_{i,j,k} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \phi_x \ _{i,j,k}) \\ v_y(\mathbf{x}) = & \sum_{i,j,k} A_y \ _{i,j,k} \sin(\mathbf{k}_{i,j,k} \cdot \mathbf{y} + \phi_y \ _{i,j,k}) \\ v_z(\mathbf{x}) = & \sum_{i,j,k} A_z \ _{i,j,k} \sin(\mathbf{k}_{i,j,k} \cdot \mathbf{z} + \phi_z \ _{i,j,k}) \end{array}$

- Vary jet duration τ_{jet} .
- Use $\tau_{jet} \sim \tau_{diss}$.
- Explore velocity power spectra

$$\int \mathcal{E}(k) \, dk = \frac{1}{V} \int_{V} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})^{2} d\mathbf{x} = \left\langle \mathbf{u}^{2} \right\rangle$$



Long pulse jet



short pulse jet

Cavity Disruption Mechanism: Death by Instability

- New FC morphologies observed in turbulent environments!
- Why? Shells unstable to various modes.
- Turbulence seeds modes
 k_{inj} < k < k_{dis}
- Cavities disruption leads to turbulent resupply.



Power Spectra and Driving Scale



- Without jet, turbulence decays as expected.
- With jet, "turbulence" re-energized on all scales.
- With jet long "driving" scales energized which not previously present.

Cavity Disruption and

Conclusion # 4

Single Fossil Cavities in turbulent environment will be disrupted and subsumed.

FC bulk flow energy/momentum will be randomized and returned to the turbulent environment

Outflow Driven Turbulence Carroll et al. 2008

Inputs: ρ₀, / = jet momentum, S = jet rate
 Use Matzner 2007 to define scales

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{\rho_0^{4/7} \mathcal{I}^{3/7}}{\mathcal{S}^{3/7}}, \mathcal{L} = \frac{\mathcal{I}^{1/7}}{\rho_0^{1/7} \mathcal{S}^{1/7}}, \mathcal{T} = \frac{\rho_0^{3/7}}{\mathcal{I}^{3/7} \mathcal{S}^{4/7}} \quad \mathcal{V} = \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\mathcal{T}} = \frac{\mathcal{I}^{4/7} \mathcal{S}^{3/7}}{\rho_0^{4/7}} = \frac{\mathcal{I}}{\rho_0 \mathcal{L}^3}$$

Run: t = 37 ~ 1My; I = 4L ~ 1 pc; N = 136
Jets: t ~ 10⁴ y, R ~ 10³ AU, Md = 10⁻⁴ Ms/y
N_{zones} = 256³;

Control simulation: Driven turbulence k = 4k_{box}

Jet-Driven Turbulence Density Isosurfaces



Jet-Driven Turbulence Density Mid-plane



Evolution: Statistics of Turbulence



Velocity Power Spectra

Density Histogram

Statistics of Turbulence Probability Distribution Functions



Energy Spectra



Cavities sweep up power (eddies) at smaller scale

Discreet vs. Continuous Forcing

- "Classic" Turbulence sims assume a forcing spectra: F_k.
- Jet driven sims use discreet forcing.
 - "back out" forcing spectrum.
- Assume:
 - $E(k) \sim k^{-n}$
 - $F(k) \sim k^{-\alpha}$

$$- \Pi(k) \sim v^2/t \sim k^{3/2(5/2-\alpha)}$$

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}_k}{dt} = \mathcal{F}_k - \frac{d}{dk}\Pi_k = 0$$

$$\alpha = \frac{3}{2}(1-n)$$
$$F \propto k^{2.2}$$

Magnetic Fields Carroll et al 08



Density



So... (a 'la Banerjee et al 2007) Can Protostellar Jets Drive Turbulence?

Definitively Yes.

Yes even for moderately rich clusters N_{*}~100 pc³

$$P = N_*^2 \pi R^2 L \frac{t_{out}}{t_{cloud}}$$

Fossil Cavities re-energize existing E_{turb}
 Fossil Cavities drive E_{turb}

The Big Questions

- Effect of magnetic fields.
- Effect of collimation and jet power.
- Observational signatures.
- How does jet driven turbulence modify SFE?
- Beyond the cluster scale
 - Can jet driven turbulence power turbulence on cloud scales?
 - Produce MHD waves that cross cloud? (Raga, Lim, Basu etc)
- Self-consistent models: Self-gravity, Star Formation
- Massive stars vs. Low Mass stars.

Future: Individual Objects L1551 Yirak, Carroll, Frank, Bally, Hartigan 2008*



Are Jets Inherently Clumpy?

Yirak Frank & Cunningham 2008



Iso-density contours



Psuedo-periodicity